N70QdupC 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK -----x 2 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA 3 23 CR 320 (GHW) V. 4 Conference 5 JOSEPH DUPONT, SHAWN CRONIN, SLAVA KAPLAN, PAUL FELDMAN 6 Defendants -----x 7 8 New York, N.Y. July 24, 2023 9 11:00 a.m. 10 Before: 11 HON. GREGORY H. WOODS 12 District Judge 13 **APPEARANCES** 14 DAMIAN WILLIAMS 15 United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York 16 SARAH MORTAZAVI Assistant United States Attorney 17 SPEARS & IMES LLP 18 Attorney for Defendant Dupont MAX C. NICHOLAS 19 LAW OFFICES OF AIDALA BERTUNA & KAMINS PC 20 Attorney for Defendant Cronin ARTHUR L. AIDALA 21 LOWENSTEIN SANDLER 22 Attorney for Defendant Kaplan RACHEL MAIMIN 23 BACHNER & ASSOCIATES PC 24 Attorney for Defendant Feldman MICHAEL F. BACHNER 25

1	(In open court; case called)
2	DEPUTY CLERK: Counsel, please state your appearance
3	for the record.
4	MS. MORTAZAVI: Good morning, your Honor.
5	Sarah Mortazavi for the government.
6	THE COURT: Thank you. Good morning.
7	MR. NICHOLAS: Good morning, your Honor.
8	Max Nicholas from Spears & Imes for Mr. Dupont, and my
9	colleague, Michael Donahue, is in the well.
10	THE COURT: Thank you.
11	MR. AIDALA: Good morning, your Honor.
12	Arthur Aidala from Aidala, Bertuna and Kamins for
13	Mr. Cronin.
14	THE COURT: Thank you.
15	MR. MAIMIN: Good morning, your Honor.
16	Rachel Maimin of Lowenstein Sandler for Dr. Stanley
17	Kaplan.
18	THE COURT: Thank you.
19	MR. BACHNER: Good morning, your Honor.
20	Michael Bachner, Bachner & Associates PC on behalf of
21	Dr. Paul Feldman.
22	THE COURT: Very good. Thank you very much.
23	Thank you all for being here. Each of the defendants
24	are also present with their counsel.
25	Let me just describe for you briefly what I expect to

accomplish today. I understand that each of the defendants has already been presented before the magistrate judge and had been arraigned with respect to the charged offenses. So my agenda here is relatively straightforward.

I am going to hear from the government regarding the nature of the case. We will talk about discovery and the timeline for its production, and then we'll talk about what is going to happen next in the case.

Let me start with you first, if I can, please, counsel for the United States. Counsel, what can you tell me about the nature of the case as a whole?

MS. MORTAZAVI: Certainly, your Honor.

This case involves insider trading and various securities fraud-related charges that are outlined in the speaking indictment in connection with the trading non-material, nonpublic information regarding an upcoming acquisition or a then-upcoming acquisition of a pharmaceutical company by a larger pharmaceutical company. That is, in essence, the nature of the case.

There have been several search warrants that were executed over the course of this investigation, including in two iCloud accounts, physical phones that were seized from each defendant, and a social media account, as well as geo-location information for the defendants.

The bulk of the production consists of the returns

from those search warrants. Pardon me. I said the bulk of the production. I meant the bulk of the discovery consists of the returns from those search warrants, as well as grand jury subpoena returns that were obtained over the course of the investigation.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Counsel for the United States, at this point do you anticipate filing any superseding indictments adding defendants or additional charges?

MS. MORTAZAVI: Not at this time, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

You have described the nature of the discovery generally. When do you expect you will be able to turn all of it over to each of the defendants and their counsel?

MS. MORTAZAVI: We have made or we've prepared an initial production of discovery that has already been turned over to two of four defendants. We are working out the logistics with the remaining two. We have second sizable production that is approaching one terabyte of data that we are in the process of finalizing and expect to send that out in the next two weeks, in which case that will be, barring any undiscovered documents in the course of reviewing our files consistent with our disclosure obligations, the totality of the discovery in this case.

THE COURT: Good. Thank you.

So I understand that the government will have completed its production of the discovery that it currently has in its possession and control within about two weeks from now.

Let me hear from each of the counsel for the defendants about your views regarding appropriate next steps given that timeline and the quantity of discovery materials that the government has and expects to hand over to you.

Let me start, if I can, with Mr. Nicholas. Counsel, what's your view?

MR. NICHOLAS: Thank you. Good morning, your Honor.

Your Honor, my understanding is that the government -well, the government has proposed to counsel for the defendants
to request of the Court a conference in roughly 60 days where
by that time we will have gotten the discovery, and we will be
able to, I think, give a report to the Court on our progress
with it. We may -- I don't know if we will be in a position at
that time to say whether or not there are motions that by that
time we anticipate making or not, but I agree with the
government that a conference in 60 days, a status conference
makes a lot of sense as a next step.

THE COURT: Thank you. Is that enough time, given the quantum of discovery -- the quantity of discovery that the government has described? I note that a number of the defendants are coming in from out of town for these conferences, so I don't want to cause you all to come here

unnecessarily early. The report will be that you won't have completed the discovery materials or your review of the discovery materials by that time.

Counsel, what do you think?

MR. NICHOLAS: Your Honor, I certainly would have no issue with more time. I can't tell the Court that we will have completed review of discovery within 60 days. So while I'm very comfortable having a status conference with the Court at that time, I think if the Court wishes the next conference to happen when we've reviewed all of the discovery, then I think maybe something closer to 120 days makes a little more sense, but I'm comfortable either way. Whenever the Court wants to check in with everyone is fine with us.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Let me hear from counsel for each of the remaining defendants.

Counsel?

MR. AIDALA: Yes, your Honor. Thank you.

I could guarantee you that I will not have reviewed two terabytes of materials. I mean, I think I am entitled to a little vacation during the month of August, so it really depends on what the government had in mind. If the government just had in mind a status update at the end of September to see how far we are and to set a calendar by the Court, that's fine. If the Court just wants to skip over that step and say, okay,

let's pick a date where you have reviewed all of the discovery and what are the next steps, then I would think a date in November would be more appropriate than a date in September.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Let me move on. Counsel?

MR. MAIMIN: We agree with Mr. Nicholas, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Counsel?

MR. BACHNER: Your Honor, we agree with Mr. Nicholas as well. Of course we could always notify the Court by letter on how we're doing. If we think the September date is not feasible, advise the Court we need another 60 days, but however the Court would like it.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Let me turn to counsel for the United States.

Counsel, what's your view? My preference would be to have a conference that will be productive, but let me hear from you.

MS. MORTAZAVI: Certainly, your Honor.

Mr. Bachner proposed what was going to be the government's response, which is that we calendar a date 60 days from today, and if the parties in their discussions leading up to that conference determine that more time is necessary just to set a briefing schedule or a trial date or just a calendar for the proceedings in this matter, then we can write to the Court and seek an adjournment of that date. But the government

does not feel strongly in that view. If the Court's preference is to set a conference date in November and, conversely, have the parties inform the Court if there has been any change in circumstances that necessitates a sooner conference, we're happy to proceed in that manner instead.

THE COURT: Thank you. Good.

Bear with me for just a moment.

Counsel, I'm going to propose, given what I have heard about the extent of the discovery here and the nature of the charges, that we schedule a conference about four months from now. If any of you prefer to reconvene sooner, I'm happy to do that. Let me just say why I'm making that proposal. Again, I'm happy to set an earlier date if any party would like to come before me before then.

My hope is that at the next conference, we will be able to hear from counsel for each of the defendants about the nature of any motions that you expect to file, and that we would be able to take that opportunity to set a motion schedule, a hearing date, if necessary, and work toward scheduling a trial.

I have heard from counsel for the defendants that you do not expect that you will have had the opportunity to review two terabytes of information within the two-month period originally proposed, particularly given that one month of those two months is, as counsel has noted, during the month of

II N70QdupC

	N70QdupC
1	August.
2	So that is my proposal. I am happy to hear from any
3	of you if you prefer to proceed in the other way. If so,
4	please just let me know.
5	Counsel for the government, any objection to
6	proceeding in that way?
7	MS. MORTAZAVI: No, your Honor.
8	THE COURT: Thank you.
9	Counsel?
10	MR. NICHOLAS: No. Thank you, your Honor.
11	THE COURT: Thank you.
12	Counsel?
13	MR. AIDALA: No, Judge.
14	THE COURT: Thank you.
15	MR. MAIMIN: No, your Honor.
16	THE COURT: Thank you.
17	MR. BACHNER: No, Judge.
18	THE COURT: Ms. Joseph, can I ask you to please
19	propose a date about four months from now?
20	DEPUTY CLERK: November 28, 2023 at 11:00 a.m.
21	THE COURT: Counsel, does that date and time work for

MS. MORTAZAVI: No objection from the government.

THE COURT: Thank you.

each of you?

22

23

24

25

MR. NICHOLAS: Yes for Mr. Dupont.

25

1	THE COURT: Thank you.
2	MR. AIDALA: Thank you, your Honor.
3	MR. MAIMIN: Yes, your Honor.
4	MR. BACHNER: All good, Judge.
5	THE COURT: Very good. Thank you all very much.
6	So I look forward to seeing you back here on
7	November 28, 2023. At that point, as I said earlier, my
8	expectation is that we will be in a position talk about any
9	motions that any defendant expects to file, we will set a
10	motion schedule and a hearing date, and potentially talk about
11	trial dates.
12	If even that amount of time isn't sufficient for the
13	parties to complete your review of the materials and to come
14	prepared to take up that agenda, please feel free to write me
15	jointly in accordance with my individual rules to request an
16	extension of that conference date.
17	Is there anything else that any party would like to
18	raise apart from the speedy trial clock at this time?
19	First from the government.
20	MS. MORTAZAVI: Nothing apart from exclusion of time,
21	your Honor.
22	THE COURT: Thank you.
23	Counsel for each of the defendants, is there anything
24	else that any of you would like to raise?

MR. NICHOLAS: No, your Honor.

1	THE COURT: Thank you.
2	MR. AIDALA: No, Judge.
3	THE COURT: Thank you.
4	MR. MAIMIN: No thank you, your Honor.
5	MR. BACHNER: Unless I missed it, the time, your
6	Honor, on the 28th of November?
7	DEPUTY CLERK: 11:00 a.m.
8	THE COURT: Thank you.
9	Counsel for the United States, is there an
10	application?
11	MS. MORTAZAVI: Yes, your Honor. We move to exclude
12	time under the Speedy Trial Act to provide the defendants an
13	opportunity to review the discovery and contemplate any
14	pretrial motions, and we think that such exclusion of time is
15	in the best interest of justice and outweighs the interests of
16	proceeding on a speedy trial schedule in this case.
17	THE COURT: Thank you.
18	Counsel for each of the defendants, do you consent to
19	the exclusion of time?
20	MR. NICHOLAS: Yes, your Honor.
21	MR. AIDALA: Yes, Judge.
22	MR. MAIMIN: Yes, your Honor.
23	MR. BACHNER: I do, your Honor.
24	THE COURT: Thank you.
25	I will exclude time from today until November 28,

2023. After balancing the factors specified in 18 United States Code, Section 3161(h)(7), I find that the ends of justice served by excluding time outweigh the best interest of the public and each of the defendants in a speedy trial because it will allow time for the production of the discovery materials and the review of those materials by each of the defendants and their counsel as well as time for each of the defendants to consider any potential motions in the case.

Counsel, anything else for us to take up before we

Counsel, anything else for us to take up before we adjourn?

First counsel for the government.

MS. MORTAZAVI: No, your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Counsel?

MR. NICHOLAS: No. Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. AIDALA: No, your Honor. Enjoy the rest of your summer.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. MAIMIN: No. Thank you, Judge.

MR. BACHNER: No. Thank you, Judge.

THE COURT: Good. Thank you all very much. This proceeding is adjourned.

(Adjourned)